Complementary Clinical Effects of Topical Tightening Treatment in conjunction with a Radiofrequency Procedure.

Article in Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy \cdot June 2014

DOI: 10.3109	/14764172.2014.933848 - Source: PubMed		
citations 4		READS	
6 author	s, including:		
	Yevgeniy Krol SkinCeuticals 20 PUBLICATIONS 188 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	0	Christian Oresajo L'Oréal 87 PUBLICATIONS 1,543 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

informa healthcare



Just Accepted by Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy

Complementary Clinical Effects of Topical Tightening Treatment in conjunction with a Radiofrequency Procedure

David J. Goldberg, Margarita Yatskayer, Susana Raab, Nannan Chen, Yevgeniy Krol, Christian Oresajo

doi: 10.3109/14764172.2014.933848

Abstract

Background: Skin laxity and cellulite on the buttocks and thighs are two common cosmetic concerns. Skin tightening with radiofrequency (RF) devices has become increasingly popular.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a topical skin laxity tightening agent when used in combination an RF device

Methods: A double-blinded, randomized clinical trial enrolled twenty females with mild to moderate skin laxity on the posterior thighs/ buttocks. Each subject underwent two monthly treatments with a radiofrequency source (Alma Accent) to both legs. Subjects were then randomized to apply a topical agent (Skinceuticals Body Tight-ening Concentrate) twice daily to only one designated thigh/buttock throughout the eight week duration of the study. All subjects were evaluated for improvement in lifting, skin tone, radiance, firmness/ tightness, skin texture, and overall appearance based on photographic evaluation by blinded investigators at 12 weeks following the final RF treatment.

Results: A statistically significant improvement was found in overall appearance on both sides treated with the RF device when compared to baseline. However, the area treated with the topical agent showed a statistically significantly greater degree of improvement compared to the side where no topical agent was applied. No adverse effects were reported.

Conclusion: The use of a novel skin tightening agent used post RF procedures is both safe and effective for treatment of skin laxity on the buttocks and thighs. Combined therapy leads to a better result.

© 2014 Informa UK, Ltd. This provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

DISCLAIMER: The ideas and opinions expressed in the journal's *Just Accepted* articles do not necessarily reflect those of Informa Healthcare (the Publisher), the Editors or the journal. The Publisher does not assume any responsibility for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising from or related to any use of the material contained in these articles. The reader is advised to check the appropriate medical literature and the product information currently provided by the manufacturer of each drug to be administered to verify the dosages, the method and duration of administration, and contraindications. It is the responsibility of the treating physician or other health care professional, relying on his or her independent experience and knowledge of the patient, to determine drug dosages and the best treatment for the patient. *Just Accepted* articles have undergone full scientific review but none of the additional editorial preparation, such as copyediting, typesetting, and proofreading, as have articles published in the traditional manner. There may, therefore, be errors in *Just Accepted* articles that will be corrected in the final print and final online version of the article. Any use of the *Just Accepted* articles is subject to the express understanding that the papers have not yet gone through the full quality control process prior to publication.

Complementary Clinical Effects of Topical Tightening Treatment in conjunction with a Radiofrequency Procedure

David J. Goldberg¹, Margarita Yatskayer², Susana Raab², Nannan Chen³, Yevgeniy Krol³, Christian Oresajo²

¹Skin Laser and Surgery Specialists of NY & NJ, USA ²L'Oreal Research and Innovation, Clark, NJ, USA ³Skinceuticals Inc. NY, USA

Correspondence: David J. Goldberg, Skin Laser and Surgery Specialists of NY & NJ, USA, E-Mail: drdavidgoldberg@skinandlasers.com

Short title: Topical Tightening treatment and radiofrequency

Abstract

Background: Skin laxity and cellulite on the buttocks and thighs are two common cosmetic concerns. Skin tightening with radiofrequency (RF) devices has become increasingly popular.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a topical skin laxity tightening agent when used in combination an RF device

Methods: A double-blinded, randomized clinical trial enrolled twenty females with mild to moderate skin laxity on the posterior thighs/buttocks. Each subject underwent two monthly treatments with a radiofrequency source (Alma Accent) to both legs. Subjects were then randomized to apply a topical agent (Skinceuticals Body Tightening Concentrate) twice daily to only one designated thigh/buttock throughout the eight week duration of the study. All subjects were evaluated for improvement in lifting, skin tone, radiance, firmness/tightness, skin texture, and overall appearance based on photographic evaluation by blinded investigators at 12 weeks following the final RF treatment.

Results: A statistically significant improvement was found in overall appearance on both sides treated with the RF device when compared to baseline. However, the area treated with the topical agent showed a statistically significantly greater degree of improvement compared to the side where no topical agent was applied. No adverse effects were reported.

Conclusion: The use of a novel skin tightening agent used post RF procedures is both safe and effective for treatment of skin laxity on the buttocks and thighs. Combined therapy leads to a better result.

Introduction

Skin laxity is a universal phenomenon of aging that prominently affects the entire body. Minimally invasive skin tightening cosmetic procedures have increased dramatically in recent years, along with an increase in body shaping and skin tightening devices. As we age, the cellular turnover rate decreases¹ and the structure of the skin begins to deteriorate. Age related changes in the skin structure include: an increase in the disorderly arrangement of the collagen fiber network (3), a decrease in the quantity of fibroblasts, decline in the collagen production by the fibroblasts (4), and an increase in the levels of matrix metalloproteinases, the primary enzymes responsible for the degradation of collagen fibers. These damaging events promote atrophy of the dermal layer (5). Skin's biochemical properties degenerate causing it to become less flexible and the continuous degradation of the elastin fiber network leads to pronounced skin laxity. Viscoelasticity of the skin is further diminished by a decrease in glycosaminoglycan concentration in the dermal layer (6). Non-invasive radiofrequency based technologies are increasingly utilized to tighten aging skin..

Recent studies have indicated that radiofrequency bipolar treatment resulted in more youthful skin with better mechanical characteristics, improvements in wrinkles, laxity, skin quality and appearance (7). Radiofrequency works by generating energy through electric currents which forces collisions between charged particles. This energy is transformed to the deep cutaneous tissue and heat is created by the tissue's inherent resistance to the movement of ions. When utilized as a body shaping and skin tightening procedure, radiofrequency devices cause liquefaction of subcutaneous fat, coagulation of blood vessels, and modifications of the dermal network (8). Because radiofrequency utilizes electric energy instead of a photo-energy, the epidermis is largely unaffected, so there are fewer restrictions on using this procedure with diverse skin types (9).

However, radiofrequency devices, used alone, may not optimally impart all the visible changes desired by the patient, in part because radiofrequency procedures are not targeted to affect the epidermis. Topical compositions specifically formulated to improve the appearance of sagging skin may complement and work synergistically to enhance the clinical benefit provided by the radiofrequency device. The objective of this study was to demonstrate the efficacy and tolerability of a topical tightening treatment containing yeast extract, tripeptide, hydrolized rice Protein, microcrystalline cellulose and cellulose gum and LHA (Skinceuticals Inc, New York, NY) on skin laxity (loose, sagging skin) on the posterior back thigh/buttock after both sides have been treated with FDA approved radiofrequency device(Alma Accent XL, Buffalo Grove, IL).

Methods and Materials:

The 8 week, single-center, investigator-blinded study enrolled 20 female subjects, Fitzpatrick skin type of I-III, with mild to moderate laxity on the posterior thighs/buttocks. The study protocol and consent forms were approved the Shulman Institutional Review Board in Fort. Lauderdale, FL. Upon completion of the irb approved informed consent process, and an evaluation of past medical history, subjects were assessed by the investigator for suitability for study entry. Subjects were instructed and monitored not to have dramatic weight loss (exceeding 10 pounds during the course of the study). Each subject was assigned to both treatment cells (A, B) and randomized based on which thigh/buttock would receive the application of the topical treatment product. The radiofrequency procedure (Alma Lasers, Buffalo Grove, IL.) was performed on the back thigh/buttock region of both legs at baseline and then once again at week 4. Each subject was instructed to apply the test product (Skinceuticals Inc., New York) twice daily to one of the designated posterior thigh/buttock area (cell A) for the duration of the study, while the other thigh/buttock area (cell B) remained untreated with the topical product.

Subject improvement was evaluated at baseline, day 3, week 4 and week 8 utilizing clinical grading along with subjective and objective evaluation of tolerance, and clinical photography. Investigator clinical efficacy assessment included an evaluation of lifting, firmness/tightness, skin tone (evenness), radiance, skin texture, and overall appearance using a 9 point scale (0-4 with half-point increments) and a measurement of thigh circumference. Investigator objective tolerance assessment included an evaluation of erythema, edema, dryness and peeling on a 5 point scale (0-4). Investigator subjective tolerance assessment included an evaluation of stinging, tingling, itching and burning on a 5 point scale (0-4). All results were standardized to yield a percentage improvement in each of the aforementioned skin attributes. Subject self-assessment questionnaires were completed at all study time points evaluating the treatment's perceived efficacy, overall skin quality and product satisfaction.

Digital photographs were taken of both posterior thigh/buttock areas at baseline (pre-radiofrequency, post-radiofrequency and post-product application), day 3, week 4 (pre-radiofrequency, post-radiofrequency and post-product application) and week 8 in order to document all results.

The data collected in the study was evaluated by an intragroup and an intergroup comparison analysis. Intragroup comparison was assessed as an average change from baseline for the individual treatment cell A or B. Intergroup comparison was assessed as the difference in the mean ordinal ratings of each attribute between the two treatments at day 3, week 4 and week 8. These multiple evaluation techniques were utilized in order to properly assess the efficacy of each treatment cell and all differences between the two treatment cells. The level of statistical significance was set at p-value ≤ 0.05 .

Results:

The posterior thigh/buttock area post radiofrequency procedure and topical treatment (cell A) demonstrated superior clinical improvement when compared to the post radiofrequency procedure alone (cell B) as exemplified by a statistically significant improvement in all skin attributes at week 4 and week 8. Neither treatment cell achieved a statistically significant improvement in any skin attribute at day 3..

At week 4, cell A attained statistically superior improvement in skin tone (10% vs 0% p-value \leq 0.05, radiance (17.4% vs 0% p-value \leq 0.05) and skin texture (18.2% vs 4.5% p-value \leq 0.05) as compared to cell B. Additionally, cell A attained a statistically significant improvement in overall appearance (12.5% p-value \leq 0.05) while cell B did not achieve a statistically significance result. (Table 1) Trend wise analysis showed superior improvement in lifting (11.5% vs 7.7%) and firmness/tightness (19.2% vs. 15.4%) in cell A as opposed to cell B. Week 4 results for thigh circumference measurement did not attain the required level of significance in either treatment cell.

At week 8, Cell A attained statistically superior improvement in skin tone (15% vs 5% p-value ≤ 0.05), radiance (17.4% vs 0% p-value ≤ 0.05), firmness/tightness (23.1% vs 15.4% p-value ≤ 0.05), skin texture (22.7% vs 9.1% p-value ≤ 0.05), and overall appearance (16.7% vs 8.3% p-value ≤ 0.05) as compared to Cell B (Table 1). Both treatment cells attained statistically significant decline in lifting (19.2% vs 19.2%) and thigh circumference (1.3% vs 1.1%), (Table 2) however the improvements were indiscernible between the two treatment cells.

The topical product application in conjunction with radiofrequency was well tolerated with no difference in stinging, burning, tingling, and pruritis between the two treatment cells. As expected, objective tolerance assessment revealed an increase in erythema immediately post radiofrequency procedure, with the increase in erythema attributed to the radiofrequency device. No difference in degree of erythema was noted between the two treatment cells. Additionally, there was no change in edema, dryness or peeling.

Discussion:

In this study, the combination of a topical formulation with the radiofrequency procedure demonstrated significant effectiveness in ameliorating skin laxity and improving the quality of skin. This cosmeceutical composition consisting of tri-peptide, hydrolyzed rice protein, and yeast extract was formulated for topical application to various regions of the body. Each ingredient plays an essential role in improving the laxity and overall quality of the skin. Tri-peptide molecule is known to stimulate hyaluronic acid synthesis and promote the production of collagen co-factors (10). The hydrolyzed rice protein is known to increase collage III, collagen VII and fibronectin in human fibroblasts (11), while yeast extract, derived from saccharmoyces cerevisiae, promotes GAG synthesis and reduces melanin content(12). Collectively these ingredients work synergistically with the radiofrequency device thereby strengthening the clinical results achieved in the trial. The primary objective of this study was to determine the safety and efficacy of combined modalities of radiofrequency procedure and a novel topical formulation. This study showed that the combined modality delivered substantial clinical benefit and improvement in skin tone, radiance, skin texture and overall appearance at the conclusion of the study.

The composition of the skin is not uniform throughout the entire body. Cutaneous tissue of the body is markedly different than cutaneous tissue of the face and thus requires special consideration when treating with cosmetic devices. Issues like slower recovery time of non-facial skin may limit the physicians' available treatment options. Unfortunately, even with the recent increase in the number of devices to treat the body, there has not been an accompanying increase in the availability of topical products to be utilized in conjunction with these procedures. This novel topical formulation represents a tangible option for physicians to be used in conjunction with body treatments.

This study utilized a radiofrequency procedure known to promote collagen synthesis and dermal remodeling along with a topical formula containing active ingredients known to stimulate the production of hyaluronic acid, collagen and glycosaminoglycan. Hypothetically, radiofrequency device treatment may also complement the effect of topical products by enhancing their penetration into the skin layers, allowing optimal proximity to zones of neocollagnesis.. Together with the radiofrequency procedure, the treatment composition was able to greatly improve the hallmark signs of skin aging, specifically reducing the severity of skin laxity in the treated area. The data gathered in this study confirmed that topical formulation can enhance the clinical benefit of the radiofrequency procedure.

While radiofrequency is well established as a stand-alone skin tightening procedure, its clinical results were clearly boosted with the use of this new topical formulation. Working in a complementary fashion, the radiofrequency device and the topical formula produced greater improvement in cutaneous laxity than the use of the device alone. By targeting different layers of the skin, these combined modalities are able to offer a more comprehensive solution for patients seeking to improve their aging skin. This study underscores the importance of integrating body devices with topical treatment products as an effective strategy to enhance the aesthetic benefits for the aging patient population. (13)

References

- 1. American Society of Plastic Surgeons. 2012 Plastic Surgery Statistics Report
- The Global Aesthetic Market Study: Acceleration in Some Segments Offset by Slowdown in Others. January 2013

- 3. Cerimele D, Celleno L, Serri F. Physiological changes in ageing skin. Brit J Dermatol. 2006;122:13-20
- Klingman AM, Handbook of the Biology of Aging, aging of human skin. 1985:820-841
- Shuster S, Black MM, McVitie E., 1975 The influence of age and sex on skin thickness, skin collagen and density. Br J Dermatol. 1975;36:461-469
- 6. Bentley JP. Aging of collagen. J Invest Dermatol. 1979;73:80-83
- Willey A, Kilmer S, Newman J, Renton B, Hantash BM, Krishna S, McGill S, Bérubé D, Elastometry and clinical results after bipolar radiofrequency treatment of skin. <u>Dermatol Surg.</u> 2010 Jun;36(6):877-84.
- 8. Alster TS, Lupton JR. Nonablative cutaneous remodeling using radiofrequency devices. Clin Dermatol 2007.
- 9. Weiss RA. Noninvasive Radio Frequency for Skin Tightening and Body Contouring. Semin Cutan Med Surg. 2013;32:9-17
- 10. Lion L, Raveendran S, Fu B. Body-contouring with radiofrequencyassisted liposuction. J Plast Surg Hand Surg. 2011;45:286-293
- 11. Patent 20120288478. Cosmetic Composition
- 12. Patent 20110300199. Peptides used in the treatment and/or care of the skin, mucous membranes and/or scalp and their use in cosmetic or pharmaceutical compositions
- L'Oreal Patent WO 2012072951 A1 Use of an extract of yeast of the saccharomyces genus to improve the brightness of the skin tone, and composition containing at least said extract and a depigmenting agent.
- Bloom BS, Brauer JA, Geronemus RG. Ablative fractional resurfacing in topical drug delivery: an update and outlook. Dermatol Surg. 2013 39 839-848.

Table Legends

		Radiofrequency + Body Tightening Treatment (cell A)				liofrequency ntreated) C		
Assessment	Time Point	Mean (±SD)	Mean % Change from Baseline	p-value from baseline	Mean (±SD)	Mean % Change from Baseline	p-value from baseline	P _T Treated vs. Untreated
	Baseline	2.6 ± 0.8			2.6 ± 0.8			
Lifting	Day 3	2.5 ± 0.8	-3.8%	1.000	2.5 ± 0.8	-3.8%	1.000	1.000
Linung	Week 4	2.3 ± 0.9	-11.5%	0.008	2.4 ± 0.9	-7.7%	0.031	0.500
	Week 8	2.1 ± 0.8	-19.2%	<0.001	2.1 ± 0.9	-19.2%	<0.001	0.500
	Baseline	2.0 ± 0.4			2.0 ± 0.4			
Skin Tone	Day 3	2.0 ± 0.4	0.0%	1.000	2.0 ± 0.4	0.0%	1.000	1.000
(Evenness)	Week 4	1.8 ± 0.5	-10.0%	0.004	2.0 ± 0.5	0.0%	1.000	0.004 ^T
	Week 8	1.7 ± 0.5	-15.0%	0.002	1.9 ± 0.5	-5.0%	0.250	0.008 ^T
	Baseline	2.3 ± 0.5			2.3 ± 0.5			
Radiance	Day 3	2.3 ± 0.5	0.0%	1.000	2.3 ± 0.5	0.0%	1.000	1.000
Kaulance	Week 4	1.9 ± 0.5	-17.4%	<0.001	2.3 ± 0.5	0.0%	1.000	<0.001 ^T
	Week 8	1.9 ± 0.6	-17.4%	<0.001	2.3 ± 0.5	0.0%	0.500	<0.001 ^T
	Baseline	2.6 ± 0.7			2.6 ± 0.7			
Firmness /	Day 3	2.5 ± 0.7	-3.8%	0.500	2.5 ± 0.7	-3.8%	0.500	1.000
Tightness	Week 4	2.1 ± 0.8	-19.2%	< 0.001	2.2 ± 0.7	-15.4%	<0.001	0.125
	Week 8	2.0 ± 0.8	-23.1%	<0.001	2.2 ± 0.8	-15.4%	<0.001	0.020 ^T
	Baseline	2.2 ± 0.6			2.2 ± 06			
Skin	Day 3	2.1 ± 0.7	-4.5%	0.500	2.2 ± 0.6	0.0%	1.000	0.500
Texture	Week 4	1.8 ± 0.6	-18.2%	<0.001	2.1 ± 0.7	-4.5%	0.250	<0.001 ^T
	Week 8	1.7 ± 0.7	-22.7%	<0.001	2.0 ± 0.7	-9.1%	0.063	0.001 ^T
	Baseline	2.4 ± 0.7			2.4 ± 0.7			
Overall	Day 3	2.4 ± 0.7	0.0%	1.000	2.4 ± 0.7	0.0%	1.000	1.000
Appearance	Week 4	2.1 ± 0.8	-12.5%	0.007	2.3 ± 0.6	-4.2%	0.125	0.105
	Week 8	2.0 ± 0.7	-16.7%	0.001	2.2 ± 0.7	-8.3%	0.078	0.004 ^T

Table 1: Clinical efficacy of skin attributes

Red/Bold indicates statistical significance at P \leq 0.05. Blue indicates statistically significant improvement. ^T Tightening Treatment (Cell A) performed statistically significantly better than Untreated (Cell

¹ Tightening Treatment (Cell A) performed statistically significantly better than Untreated (Cell B) $P \le 0.05$.

	Time Point	-	ency + Body T eatment(Cell A	Radi (Un	P _T Treated vs. Untreated			
Assessment		Mean (±SD)	Mean % Change from Baseline	p-value from baseline	Mean (±SD)	Mean % Change from Baseline	p-value from baseline	
	Baseline	21.5 ± 1.2			21.4 ± 1.2			
Thigh Circumference	Day 3	21.5 ± 1.2	0.0%	1.000	21.5 ± 1.2	0.5%	0.250	0.313
(inches)	Week 4	21.3 ± 1.2	-0.6%	0.053	21.2 ± 1.1	-0.7%	0.214	0.905
	Week 8	21.2 ± 1.2	-1.3%	0.010	21.2 ± 1.2	-1.1%	0.016	0.820

Table 2: Thigh Circumference Measurement

Red/ Bold indicate statistical significance p≤0.05. Blue indicates statistically significant

improvement.

Negative values indicate an improvement for all attributes.



